

CREDIT OPINION

15 September 2016

Rate this Research



RATINGS

Genesis Housing Association

Domicile	United Kingdom
Long Term Rating	Baa1
Type	LT Issuer Rating - Dom Curr
Outlook	Negative

Please see the ratings section at the end of this report for more information. The ratings and outlook shown reflect information as of the publication date.

Analyst Contacts

Amir Girgis 44-20-7772-5310
Analyst
amir.girgis@moodys.com

Jennifer A. Wong 4420-7772-5333
VP-Senior Analyst
jennifera.wong@moodys.com

David Rubinoff 44-20-7772-1398
MD-Sub Sovereigns
david.rubinoff@moodys.com

Genesis Housing Association


Update to Key Credit Factors

Summary Rating Rationale

On 29 June 2016, the issuer and debt ratings assigned to Genesis Housing Association (GEN) were downgraded to Baa1 from A2, following our credit assessment of the likely impact of their merger plan with Thames Valley Housing Association (TVHA). The merger was then called off on 23 August 2016, citing cultural and operational differences. However, we expect GEN to retain the heightened risk profile and anticipate a long term aggressive and commercially focused development programme leading to weaker interest cover ratios.

Exhibit 1

GEN's stable gearing and structurally low interest SH letting interest coverage Gearing and SH letting interest cover, FY 2011-2015



Source: Moody's, GEN financial statements

The Baa1 issuer rating assigned to GEN reflects: (1) Large housing association operating in high demand areas; (2) strong balance sheet supported by historically low gearing. The rating also takes into account: (1) Growth uncertainty, following the termination of the merger with TVHA; and (2) GEN's anticipated to operate with low interest coverages.

In addition, ratings in the sector benefit from the strong regulatory framework governing English housing associations and our assessment that there is a strong likelihood that the UK government (Aa1 stable) would intervene in the event that GEN faces acute liquidity stress.

The entity is rated at the bottom of the Moody's-rated English housing associations, whose ratings span from Aa3 to Baa1. GEN's relative position reflects an expectation of increasing

exposure to non-social housing activity, structurally low social housing interest cover and uncertainty with respect to future growth.

Credit Strengths

- » Large housing association operating in high demand areas
- » Strong balance sheet supported by low gearing
- » Strong regulatory framework

Credit Challenges

- » Management expected to focus on growth agenda following termination of proposed merger
- » Government policy changes make operating environment more challenging for housing associations

Rating Outlook

The negative outlook on GEN's rating reflects the negative impact of the vote to leave the European Union on housing associations as well as the negative outlook on the sovereign rating, reflecting the close institutional, operational and financial linkages between the central government and housing associations.

Factors that Could Lead to an Upgrade

Moody's believes that upward ratings pressure on the HAs affected by the UK Sub-Sovereign Brexit action is unlikely to develop in view of the challenging operating environment and weakened sovereign credit conditions. However a combination of the following could have positive rating implications: (1) Despite the rent reduction a permanent reversal in their growth ambition and strengthening in social-housing-letting interest coverage structurally exceeding 1.2x; (2) a stable and coherent strategic vision

Factors that Could Lead to a Downgrade

Negative pressure could be exerted on the rating by one or a combination of following (1) oscillating business plans (2) reliance on sales and/or other high-risk activities to cover interest costs with social housing letting interest coverage ratio structurally below 0.8x; (3) New business plan and merger plans indicating an acceleration in GEN's aspirations. In addition, a weaker regulatory framework, a dilution of the overall level of support from the UK government or a downgrade of the UK sovereign rating would also exert downward pressure on the rating.

Key Indicators

Exhibit 2

Genesis Housing Association

	31-Mar-11	31-Mar-12	31-Mar-13	31-Mar-14	31-Mar-15
Units Under Management (no)	40,288	32,955	32,925	32,369	31,510
Operating margin, before interest (%)	8%	19%	20%	24%	25%
Net Capital expenditure as (%) turnover	10%	44%	16%	45%	60%
Reliance on low-risk activities: interest coverage (x times)	0.7	0.7	1.0	0.9	1.0
Cash interest coverage (x times)	0.4	1.5	1.8	1.0	0.6
Debt to revenues (x times)	4.9	5.6	4.8	5.3	5.4
Debt to assets at costs (%)	50%	50%	47%	47%	48%

Source: Moody's, GEN financial statements

This publication does not announce a credit rating action. For any credit ratings referenced in this publication, please see the ratings tab on the issuer/entity page on www.moody's.com for the most updated credit rating action information and rating history.

Recent Developments

On 23 August 2016, GEN announced that its merger with Thames Valley Housing Association (TVHA) has been canceled citing "differences in style and approach". The merger was expected to be completed in July 2016, following delayed consent from lenders. The core driver behind the merger was to create added capacity by forming a combined entity with 47,000 units under management and deliver an aspirational development pipeline of 3,000 units per year. GEN has stated their desire to move away from a grant subsidy model and towards a market subsidy model. While the merger might have failed, we believe that the organisation still remains committed in moving away from a grant subsidy model via increased long term market sale exposure.

On 23 June 2016, the UK voted to leave the European Union in a referendum and on 24 June 2016, a negative outlook was placed on the UK's Aa1 sovereign rating. We expect protracted trade negotiations, resulting in a high level of uncertainty in the medium term which will manifest in slower economic growth. On 29 June 2016, the outlook of GEN's Baa1 rating was changed to negative from stable reflecting that the vote to leave the EU is negative for housing associations (HA), whose creditworthiness is linked to the sovereign, driven by three main factors: 1) reduction in the predictability of policy-making as the sovereign could squeeze HAs budgets through additional cuts in housing benefit, grants, or other policy channels, 2) volatility in the housing market, which would impact, in particular, those HAs like GEN with expected greater exposure to open-market sales, and 3) the loss of EU funding for capital spending and potentially higher cost of borrowing when raising debt on the capital market.

Detailed Rating Considerations

GEN's Baa1 rating combines (1) a baseline credit assessment (BCA) of ba1 and (2) a strong likelihood of extraordinary support coming from the national government in the event that the entity faced acute liquidity stress.

Baseline Credit Assessment

LARGE HOUSING ASSOCIATION OPERATING IN HIGH DEMAND AREAS

GEN are a large social landlord with a housing stock under management that stands at 33,000. GEN operates across London and the South East England in approximately 86 local authorities, where demand for social housing is generally high and social housing rents are on average at 33% of market rates. This gap between social and market rent is credit positive as it supports high demand for social housing stock as well as revenue uplift should the organisation increase their exposure towards affordable housing and market rent. GEN was expected to become one of the ten largest social landlords post-merger.

STRONG BALANCE SHEET SUPPORTED BY LOW GEARING

GEN has a standalone gearing ratio of approximately 50%, this compares well to the 58% gearing of its ba1 peer (Poplar Harca). Similarly, debt to revenues remains modest, averaging 5.2x between FY2011-2015. We note that the organisation tightly reviews all covenants and we do not expect any future business plan to breach or come close to breaching their gearing covenant.

Despite reducing their annual grant funding over recent years, GEN will carry approximately GBP1.2 billion of historical grants on their balance sheet in FY2016. This high level of historical grant on balance sheet restricts their ability to deregister as a registered social housing provider despite. In an environment of low grant funding, GEN have consciously opted to limit their reliance on new grant funding, as such annual grants relative to turnover have dropped from 27% in 2011 to 3% in 2015. Although this downward trend is similar for other organisations, GEN remains resolute to grow their business by filling the gap with debt and proceeds from their riskier and more volatile commercial activity.

STRONG REGULATORY FRAMEWORK

English housing associations operate in a highly regulated environment, with a strong oversight exercised by the sector's regulator, the Homes and Communities Agency (HCA). The regulator is responsible for protecting the public investment in social housing and compliance with broad economic and consumer standards. Compliance with the standards is proactively monitored by the HCA through quarterly returns, long term business plan and annual reviews, and focuses on: governance, financial viability, value for money and rents. The HCA's levers of control are wide ranging and include awarding capital grant funding, consent to dispose of or use assets to secure debt, levy financial penalties, and impose independent inquiries or appoint new managers and officers in extreme circumstances. The HCA emphasizes that their role is a co-regulatory one with the primary onus being on boards and executive

teams to ensure compliance with the standards. We expect that the rapidly changing environment will put increased pressure on the regulator.

MANAGEMENT EXPECTED TO CONTINUE GROWTH AGENDA FOLLOWING TERMINATION OF MERGER

GEN's merger with Thames Valley was driven by the boards' desire to substantially increase their long-term development pipeline. While the merger might have been called off and while GEN will certainly not be subject to the same short term development risks, Moody's expects GEN to maintain a long term commercial development focus.

Moody's deemed GEN's merger aspirations as aggressive and noted that it would exert downward pressures on key rating metrics. The merged entity was to develop approximately 16,000 new units over the first 5 years, a sizeable increase from GEN's standalone 5 year plan (5,000 units). Despite the merger being called off, management are expected to maintain long term growth aspirations. Moody's anticipates that the organisation will continue to operate with low social housing letting and cash interest coverage ratios and we continue to expect market sales and commercial exposure to compose a large and growing portion of their turnover.

The merged business plan, illustrated that their appetite for growth was high. Capital expenditure level was expected to remain high between FY2017-2019, averaging 110%, well above the 31% median of Moody's rated portfolio and far above GEN's standalone past five-year average of 35%. While we acknowledged that a majority of this development was uncommitted and GEN would only commit once funding is in place, we feel that these levels show their appetite for growth. Our view is that the termination of the merger will not change their long term growth aspirations, and this will ultimately result in lower cash and social housing interest covers.

This increased focus on non-social housing letting is a direct response to the 1% social housing rent reduction and the shift away from social housing will remain imminent in GEN zero subsidy growth model.

The focus of the executive team has centred on the merger for the last 12 months and consumed management resources. The last minute change of course will require the executive team to refocus their resources to and clearly define GEN's future.

GOVERNMENT POLICY CHANGES MAKE OPERATING ENVIRONMENT MORE CHALLENGING FOR HOUSING ASSOCIATIONS

The operating environment for social housing providers is fundamentally shaped by government policy and recent budget announcements have made these circumstances more challenging. On July 8th, 2015 the UK government announced (1) a change in the social housing rent formula to 1% annual reduction starting from April 2016 for four years (previously growth annually by CPI +1%) and (2) further reductions in the accessibility of certain welfare benefits. The effect of these measures is further magnified by the ongoing implementation of Universal Credit and the extension of Right to Buy for HA tenants. Overall, these policy shifts are gradually eroding the ties to the government, which we view as credit positive, by creating a more unpredictable operating environment and undermining the extent and stability of housing benefit's contribution to revenues.

The extension of the Right to Buy to housing association tenants may in short-term lead to positive cash inflows, but creates a risk of a longer term erosion of social housing stock if this stock is not replaced on a 1:1 ratio. We will continue to monitor the impact of the proposed Right to Buy extension on GEN's asset base and revenues.

Extraordinary Support Considerations

The strong level of extraordinary support factored into the rating reflects the wide ranging powers of redress available to the regulator in cases of financial distress, with the possibility of a facilitated merger or a transfer of engagements. Recent history has shown that the UK Government (Aa1 negative) is willing to support the sector, as housing remains a politically and economically sensitive issue. The strong support also factors housing associations' increasing exposure to non-core social housing activities that add complexity to their operations and make an extraordinary intervention more challenging.

In addition, our assessment that there is very high default dependence between GEN and the UK government reflects their strong financial and operational linkages.

Rating Methodology and Scorecard Factors

[European Social Housing Providers](#), July 2016 (190944)

[Government-Related Issuers](#), October 2014 (173845)

Ratings

Exhibit 3

Category	Moody's Rating
GENESIS HOUSING ASSOCIATION	
Outlook	Negative
Issuer Rating -Dom Curr	Baa1
GENFINANCE II PLC	
Outlook	Negative
Senior Secured -Dom Curr	Baa1

Source: Moody's Investors Service

Moody's Public Sector Europe is the trading name of Moody's Investors Service EMEA Limited, a company incorporated in England with registered number 8922701 that operates as part of the Moody's Investors Service division of the Moody's group of companies.

© 2016 Moody's Corporation, Moody's Investors Service, Inc., Moody's Analytics, Inc. and/or their licensors and affiliates (collectively, "MOODY'S"). All rights reserved.

CREDIT RATINGS ISSUED BY MOODY'S INVESTORS SERVICE, INC. AND ITS RATINGS AFFILIATES ("MIS") ARE MOODY'S CURRENT OPINIONS OF THE RELATIVE FUTURE CREDIT RISK OF ENTITIES, CREDIT COMMITMENTS, OR DEBT OR DEBT-LIKE SECURITIES, AND CREDIT RATINGS AND RESEARCH PUBLICATIONS PUBLISHED BY MOODY'S ("MOODY'S PUBLICATIONS") MAY INCLUDE MOODY'S CURRENT OPINIONS OF THE RELATIVE FUTURE CREDIT RISK OF ENTITIES, CREDIT COMMITMENTS, OR DEBT OR DEBT-LIKE SECURITIES. MOODY'S DEFINES CREDIT RISK AS THE RISK THAT AN ENTITY MAY NOT MEET ITS CONTRACTUAL, FINANCIAL OBLIGATIONS AS THEY COME DUE AND ANY ESTIMATED FINANCIAL LOSS IN THE EVENT OF DEFAULT. CREDIT RATINGS DO NOT ADDRESS ANY OTHER RISK, INCLUDING BUT NOT LIMITED TO: LIQUIDITY RISK, MARKET VALUE RISK, OR PRICE VOLATILITY. CREDIT RATINGS AND MOODY'S OPINIONS INCLUDED IN MOODY'S PUBLICATIONS ARE NOT STATEMENTS OF CURRENT OR HISTORICAL FACT. MOODY'S PUBLICATIONS MAY ALSO INCLUDE QUANTITATIVE MODEL-BASED ESTIMATES OF CREDIT RISK AND RELATED OPINIONS OR COMMENTARY PUBLISHED BY MOODY'S ANALYTICS, INC. CREDIT RATINGS AND MOODY'S PUBLICATIONS DO NOT CONSTITUTE OR PROVIDE INVESTMENT OR FINANCIAL ADVICE, AND CREDIT RATINGS AND MOODY'S PUBLICATIONS ARE NOT AND DO NOT PROVIDE RECOMMENDATIONS TO PURCHASE, SELL, OR HOLD PARTICULAR SECURITIES. NEITHER CREDIT RATINGS NOR MOODY'S PUBLICATIONS COMMENT ON THE SUITABILITY OF AN INVESTMENT FOR ANY PARTICULAR INVESTOR. MOODY'S ISSUES ITS CREDIT RATINGS AND PUBLISHES MOODY'S PUBLICATIONS WITH THE EXPECTATION AND UNDERSTANDING THAT EACH INVESTOR WILL, WITH DUE CARE, MAKE ITS OWN STUDY AND EVALUATION OF EACH SECURITY THAT IS UNDER CONSIDERATION FOR PURCHASE, HOLDING, OR SALE.

MOODY'S CREDIT RATINGS AND MOODY'S PUBLICATIONS ARE NOT INTENDED FOR USE BY RETAIL INVESTORS AND IT WOULD BE RECKLESS AND INAPPROPRIATE FOR RETAIL INVESTORS TO USE MOODY'S CREDIT RATINGS OR MOODY'S PUBLICATIONS WHEN MAKING AN INVESTMENT DECISION. IF IN DOUBT YOU SHOULD CONTACT YOUR FINANCIAL OR OTHER PROFESSIONAL ADVISER. ALL INFORMATION CONTAINED HEREIN IS PROTECTED BY LAW, INCLUDING BUT NOT LIMITED TO, COPYRIGHT LAW, AND NONE OF SUCH INFORMATION MAY BE COPIED OR OTHERWISE REPRODUCED, REPACKAGED, FURTHER TRANSMITTED, TRANSFERRED, DISSEMINATED, REDISTRIBUTED OR RESOLD, OR STORED FOR SUBSEQUENT USE FOR ANY SUCH PURPOSE, IN WHOLE OR IN PART, IN ANY FORM OR MANNER OR BY ANY MEANS WHATSOEVER, BY ANY PERSON WITHOUT MOODY'S PRIOR WRITTEN CONSENT.

All information contained herein is obtained by MOODY'S from sources believed by it to be accurate and reliable. Because of the possibility of human or mechanical error as well as other factors, however, all information contained herein is provided "AS IS" without warranty of any kind. MOODY'S adopts all necessary measures so that the information it uses in assigning a credit rating is of sufficient quality and from sources MOODY'S considers to be reliable including, when appropriate, independent third-party sources. However, MOODY'S is not an auditor and cannot in every instance independently verify or validate information received in the rating process or in preparing the Moody's Publications.

To the extent permitted by law, MOODY'S and its directors, officers, employees, agents, representatives, licensors and suppliers disclaim liability to any person or entity for any indirect, special, consequential, or incidental losses or damages whatsoever arising from or in connection with the information contained herein or the use of or inability to use any such information, even if MOODY'S or any of its directors, officers, employees, agents, representatives, licensors or suppliers is advised in advance of the possibility of such losses or damages, including but not limited to: (a) any loss of present or prospective profits or (b) any loss or damage arising where the relevant financial instrument is not the subject of a particular credit rating assigned by MOODY'S.

To the extent permitted by law, MOODY'S and its directors, officers, employees, agents, representatives, licensors and suppliers disclaim liability for any direct or compensatory losses or damages caused to any person or entity, including but not limited to by any negligence (but excluding fraud, willful misconduct or any other type of liability that, for the avoidance of doubt, by law cannot be excluded) on the part of, or any contingency within or beyond the control of, MOODY'S or any of its directors, officers, employees, agents, representatives, licensors or suppliers, arising from or in connection with the information contained herein or the use of or inability to use any such information.

NO WARRANTY, EXPRESS OR IMPLIED, AS TO THE ACCURACY, TIMELINESS, COMPLETENESS, MERCHANTABILITY OR FITNESS FOR ANY PARTICULAR PURPOSE OF ANY SUCH RATING OR OTHER OPINION OR INFORMATION IS GIVEN OR MADE BY MOODY'S IN ANY FORM OR MANNER WHATSOEVER.

Moody's Investors Service, Inc., a wholly-owned credit rating agency subsidiary of Moody's Corporation ("MCO"), hereby discloses that most issuers of debt securities (including corporate and municipal bonds, debentures, notes and commercial paper) and preferred stock rated by Moody's Investors Service, Inc. have, prior to assignment of any rating, agreed to pay to Moody's Investors Service, Inc. for appraisal and rating services rendered by it fees ranging from \$1,500 to approximately \$2,500,000. MCO and MIS also maintain policies and procedures to address the independence of MIS's ratings and rating processes. Information regarding certain affiliations that may exist between directors of MCO and rated entities, and between entities who hold ratings from MIS and have also publicly reported to the SEC an ownership interest in MCO of more than 5%, is posted annually at www.moody.com under the heading "Investor Relations — Corporate Governance — Director and Shareholder Affiliation Policy."

Additional terms for Australia only: Any publication into Australia of this document is pursuant to the Australian Financial Services License of MOODY'S affiliate, Moody's Investors Service Pty Limited ABN 61 003 399 657 AFSL 336969 and/or Moody's Analytics Australia Pty Ltd ABN 94 105 136 972 AFSL 383569 (as applicable). This document is intended to be provided only to "wholesale clients" within the meaning of section 761G of the Corporations Act 2001. By continuing to access this document from within Australia, you represent to MOODY'S that you are, or are accessing the document as a representative of, a "wholesale client" and that neither you nor the entity you represent will directly or indirectly disseminate this document or its contents to "retail clients" within the meaning of section 761G of the Corporations Act 2001. MOODY'S credit rating is an opinion as to the creditworthiness of a debt obligation of the issuer, not on the equity securities of the issuer or any form of security that is available to retail investors. It would be reckless and inappropriate for retail investors to use MOODY'S credit ratings or publications when making an investment decision. If in doubt you should contact your financial or other professional adviser.

Additional terms for Japan only: Moody's Japan K.K. ("MJKK") is a wholly-owned credit rating agency subsidiary of Moody's Group Japan G.K., which is wholly-owned by Moody's Overseas Holdings Inc., a wholly-owned subsidiary of MCO. Moody's SF Japan K.K. ("MSFJ") is a wholly-owned credit rating agency subsidiary of MJKK. MSFJ is not a Nationally Recognized Statistical Rating Organization ("NRSRO"). Therefore, credit ratings assigned by MSFJ are Non-NRSRO Credit Ratings. Non-NRSRO Credit Ratings are assigned by an entity that is not a NRSRO and, consequently, the rated obligation will not qualify for certain types of treatment under U.S. laws. MJKK and MSFJ are credit rating agencies registered with the Japan Financial Services Agency and their registration numbers are FSA Commissioner (Ratings) No. 2 and 3 respectively.

MJKK or MSFJ (as applicable) hereby disclose that most issuers of debt securities (including corporate and municipal bonds, debentures, notes and commercial paper) and preferred stock rated by MJKK or MSFJ (as applicable) have, prior to assignment of any rating, agreed to pay to MJKK or MSFJ (as applicable) for appraisal and rating services rendered by it fees ranging from JPY200,000 to approximately JPY350,000,000.

MJKK and MSFJ also maintain policies and procedures to address Japanese regulatory requirements.

REPORT NUMBER 1042445

Analyst Contacts

Amir Girgis
Analyst
amir.girgis@moodys.com

44-20-7772-5310

CLIENT SERVICES

Americas	1-212-553-1653
Asia Pacific	852-3551-3077
Japan	81-3-5408-4100
EMEA	44-20-7772-5454